A covariant Hamiltonian tetrad approach to numerical relativity

Andrew J S Hamilton*
JILA, Box 440, U. Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA and
Dept. Astrophysical & Planetary Sciences, U. Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
(Dated: November 18, 2016)

A Hamiltonian approach to the equations of general relativity is proposed in which the gravitational coordinates are the 12 spatial components of the line interval (the vierbein) including their antisymmetric parts, and their 12 conjugate momenta. A feature of the proposed formalism is that it allows Lorentz gauge freedoms to be imposed on the Lorentz connections rather than on the vierbein, which may facilitate numerical integration in some challenging problems. The 40 Hamilton's equations comprise $12 + 12 = 24$ equations of motion and 6 identities, along with 10 constraint equations. By comparison, the ADM formalism has 12 equations of motion and 18 identities, while the BSSN formalism has 15 equations of motion and 15 identities. I conjecture that, by maximizing the number of "good" causal equations of motion and minimizing the number of "bad" acausal identities, the proposed approach may have improved properties of numerical stability.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q

arXiv:1611.05523v1 [gr-qc] 17 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for this paper is the problem of the interior structure of astronomically realistic rotating black holes. The inner horizons of rotating black holes are subject to the inflationary instability discovered by Poisson & Israel [1]. The inflationary instability is the nonlinear consequence of the infinite blueshift at the inner horizon first pointed out by Penrose [2]. Numerical computations presented in a subsequent paper indicate that in a rotating black hole that accretes, as real black holes do, inflation stalls, and BKL collapse [3] to a spacelike singular surface ensues.

Calculating the interior structure of realistic rotating black holes presents severe numerical challenges. One challenge is that gradients of the metric grow huge. Another is that collapse is intrinsically unstable to the growth of anisotropies. The numerical method must be robust enough to follow physical instability without gauge instability.

A basic idea behind the present paper is that the physical environment may provide a preferred set of Lorentz frames, which in turn define a preferred set of observers. For example, in the world familiar to humans, the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) defines preferred frames, and selects preferred (comoving) observers who remain at rest with respect to the CMB. Similarly, in the interior of a rotating black hole, accreting streams focus along each of the outgoing and ingoing principle null directions as they approach the inner horizon. The null directions along which the accreting streams focus define preferred frames analogous to the CMB.

In the case of the black hole interior, selecting the preferred frames involves imposing gauge conditions not on the line element, but rather on the Lorentz connections (equivalently, on derivatives of the line element). Unfortunately the standard ADM (e.g. [4]) and BSSN (e.g. [5]) numerical approaches impose gauge conditions on the line element that preempt being able to impose gauge conditions on the Lorentz connections.

The approach proposed in the present paper is designed to allow a broader range of freedoms in choosing gauge conditions, including the freedom to impose gauge freedoms on the Lorentz connections.

General relativity is a gauge theory of two local symmetries, the 4-dimensional group of translations (coordinate transformations), and the 6-dimensional group of Lorentz transformations. Most treatments of numerical relativity work only with Lorentz gauge-invariant quantities, namely the metric and its first and second coordinate derivatives. The present paper follows the alternative strategy of keeping both coordinate and Lorentz symmetries distinct and manifest, the tetrad approach. Tetrad-based approaches to numerical relativity are reviewed by [6]. Because of the complexity of the symmetry group, the gravitational equations written out in index notation tend to degenerate into a mess that obscures their underlying structure. To keep the structure transparent, it is highly advantageous to use the abstract mathematical language of multivector-valued differential forms. A multivector is an element of the Clifford algebra (geometric algebra) generated by vectors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_m \equiv \{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_2, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3\}$ with inner product the Minkowski metric, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n = \eta_{mn}$, and an antisymmetric outer product (wedge product). The reader is assumed to be familiar with both multivectors (see [7]) and forms. In this paper, local Lorentz transformations transform multivectors while keeping forms unchanged; while coordinate transformations transform forms while keeping multivectors unchanged.

Following Dirac [8], the system of Hamilton's equations

*Electronic address: Andrew.Hamilton@colorado.edu

for any local gauge theory, such as general relativity, divide into three groups: (1) equations of motion, which are evolution equations that propagate the coordinates and their conjugate momenta forward in time; (2) constraint equations (first class contraints, in Dirac's terminology), which must be arranged to be satisfied on the initial hypersurface of constant time, but which are guaranteed thereafter by conservation laws; and (3) identities (second class contraints, in Dirac's terminology), which define redundant fields in terms of others. The constraints and identities share the property that they involve only spatial, not time, derivatives of the coordinates and momenta.

The number of constraint equations equals the dimensionality of the symmetry group of the gauge theory, which in the case of general relativity is 10, the dimensionality of the Poincaré group. The constraint equations of general relativity are commonly called Hamiltonian constraints, which arise from symmetry under local translations (coordinate transformations), and Gaussian constraints, which arise from symmetry under local Lorentz transformations.

In general relativity, there are altogether 40 gravitational Hamilton's equations, including the 10 constraint equations. In the approach proposed in this paper, the remaining 30 Hamilton's equations divide into 24 equations of motion and 6 identities. By contrast, in ADM the remaining 30 Hamilton's equations divide into 12 equations of motion and 18 identities, while in BSSN they divide into 15 equations of motion and 15 identities. Since equations of motion are causal and in that sense "good," while identities are acausal and in that sense "bad," it is not unreasonable to conjecture that the approach proposed here might possibly be better-behaved numerically than either ADM or BSSN. It remains to be seen whether this conjecture is borne out by numerical experiment.

The version of general relativity considered in this paper is actually Einstein-Cartan theory, which is the extension of general relativity to include torsion. Standard general relativity is recovered by setting torsion to zero; but from a fundamental perspective setting torsion to zero is, as Hehl [9, 10] has emphasized, artificial. Spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ fields generate torsion: fermions have spin angular-momentum that sources non-zero torsion. Torsion, whose effects are negligible under normal (accessible to humans) conditions, could potentially play a role under the extreme conditions attending inflation and collapse inside black holes.

To keep the main text compact, some details of the notation (§A), along with some extra material on the Bianchi identities and conservation laws (§B), and on electromagnetism (§C), are deferred to Appendices.

II. GRAVITATIONAL EQUATIONS

The structure of the gravitational equations derived in this section is quite similar to the structure of the equations of electromagnetism, except that the gravitational equations are more complicated. In particular, the decomposition of Hamilton's equations into equations of motion, constraints, and identities in the two theories is quite similar. For reference, Appendix C presents an exposition of electromagnetism that brings out the similarity of structure.

A. Line interval, connection, torsion, and curvature

The degrees of freedom of the gravitational field are contained in the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$, a vector 1-form with $4\times4 = 16$ degrees of freedom, and the Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, a bivector 1-form with $6 \times 4 = 24$ degrees of freedom,

$$\boldsymbol{e} \equiv e_{k\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k\, dx^\kappa \ , \tag{1a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \equiv \Gamma_{kl\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l\, dx^\kappa \ , \tag{1b}$$

implicitly summed over distinct antisymmetric sequences of paired indices. The components $e_{k\kappa}$ of the line interval are commonly called the vierbein. The Lorentz connections $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ are sometimes called Ricci rotation coefficients, or (especially when expressed in a Newman-Penrose double-null basis) spin coefficients.

It is convenient to use the notation $\boldsymbol{e}^p$ to denote the normalized p-volume element

$$\boldsymbol{e}^p \equiv \frac{(-)^{[p/2]}}{p!}\, \overbrace{\boldsymbol{e} \wedge ... \wedge \boldsymbol{e}}^{p \text{ terms}} \ , \tag{2}$$

which is both a p-form and a grade-p multivector. The factor $(-)^{[p/2]}/p!$ ensures that the scalar product of a multivector $\boldsymbol{a} \equiv a_A\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^A$ of grade p with the p-volume element $\boldsymbol{e}^p$ is the p-form $a_\Lambda\, d^p x^\Lambda$,

$$\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^p = a_\Lambda\, d^p x^\Lambda \ , \tag{3}$$

implicitly summed over distinct antisymmetric sequences Λ of p coordinate indices.

The commutator of the (coordinate and Lorentz) covariant derivative defines the torsion vector 2-form $\boldsymbol{S}$ and the Riemann curvature bivector 2-form $\boldsymbol{R}$ in terms of exterior derivatives of the line interval and connection,

$$\boldsymbol{S} \equiv \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}] \ , \tag{4a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{R} \equiv \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \tfrac{1}{4}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}] \ , \tag{4b}$$

which are Cartan's equations of structure. Cartan's equations (4) are definitions of torsion and curvature, not equations of motion. Equations of motion follow from varying the action.

B. Gravitational action

The Hilbert action is

$$S_{\rm g} \equiv \int L_{\rm g} \ , \tag{5}$$

where $L_{\rm g}$ is the Hilbert Lagrangian scalar 4-form

$$L_{\rm g} \equiv \frac{I}{\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{e}^2 \wedge \boldsymbol{R} = \frac{I}{\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{e}^2 \wedge \big(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \tfrac{1}{4}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}]\big) \ , \tag{6}$$

where I is the pseudoscalar, equation (A2), and $\kappa \equiv 8\pi G$ the normalized Newton's gravitational constant.

The Hilbert Lagrangian (6) is in super-Hamiltonian (in the terminology of [11]) form $I\boldsymbol{p}\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{q} - H_{\rm g}$ with gravitational coordinates the Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, conjugate momenta the area element $\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{e}^2/\kappa$, and super-Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm g} = -\frac{I}{\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{e}^2 \wedge \tfrac{1}{4}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}] \ . \tag{7}$$

However, the area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$, a bivector 2-form with $6\times 6 = 36$ components, has excess degrees of freedom compared to the 16-component line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$. A (standard) solution to the excess degrees of freedom is to integrate the Hilbert action by parts so that the gravitational variables become the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and its conjugate momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. Specifically, the term $\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ in the Hilbert Lagrangian (6) integrates by parts to

$$\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e}\wedge(\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \ . \tag{8}$$

With the total exterior derivative discarded, the integration by parts brings the Hilbert Lagrangian (6) to

$$L'_{\rm g} = \frac{I}{\kappa}\, \big(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi} + \tfrac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}]\big) \ , \tag{9}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is the pseudovector 2-form defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} \equiv \boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \ . \tag{10}$$

The modified Hilbert Lagrangian (9) is in super-Hamiltonian form with gravitational coordinates the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$, conjugate momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}/\kappa$, and the same super-Hamiltonian (7) as before. Equation (10) inverts to

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \big(\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\pi}} - \boldsymbol{e}\wedge(\boldsymbol{e}\overset{**}{\wedge}\boldsymbol{\pi})\big)^{\top} \ , \tag{11}$$

where ** denotes the double dual, equation (A6), and $^{\top}$ the transpose operation (A7). In components,

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \equiv \overset{**}{\pi}_{\mu\nu l}\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l\, d^2x^{\mu\nu} = \big(\Gamma_{\mu\nu l} - 2e_{l\mu}\Gamma^p_{\ \nu p}\big)\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l\, d^2x^{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{12}$$

which is to say, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and the double-dual transpose $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\pi}}{}^{\top}$ differ by a trace term.

Variation of the gravitational action $S'_{\rm g}$ with the modified Hilbert Lagrangian (9) yields

$$\delta S'_{\rm g} = \frac{I}{\kappa}\oint \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi} + \frac{I}{\kappa}\int \boldsymbol{S}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{\pi} + \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Pi} \ , \tag{13}$$

where the curvature pseudovector 3-form $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ is defined to be

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi} \equiv -\,\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{R} + \boldsymbol{S}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\pi}] + \tfrac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{e}\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}] \ . \tag{14}$$

The $-\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{R}$ term in $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ equals the double dual of the vector 1-form Einstein tensor $\boldsymbol{G}$,

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{G}} = -\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{R} \ . \tag{15}$$

For any given species of matter, the spin angular-momentum $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, a bivector 2-form, and the energy-momentum $\boldsymbol{T}$, a vector 1-form, are conventionally defined by the variation of the matter action with respect to respectively the connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ [10]. In multivector-forms language,

$$\delta S_{\rm m} = -I\int \overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} \ , \tag{16}$$

where $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}}$ are the double-duals of the spin angular-momentum $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and energy-momentum $\boldsymbol{T}$ of the matter. The spin angular-momentum is so called because it vanishes for gauge fields such as electromagnetism, but is finite for spinor fields [10].

Variation of the matter action $S_{\rm m}$ instead with respect to $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{e}$ defines modified versions $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$ of the spin angular-momentum and the energy-momentum,

$$\delta S_{\rm m} = -I\int \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{\pi} + \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} \ . \tag{17}$$

The original and modified spin angular-momentum and energy-momenta of matter are invertibly related by

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = -\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \ , \tag{18a}$$

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \ . \tag{18b}$$

The combined variation of gravitational and matter actions yields Hamilton's equations for the torsion $\boldsymbol{S}$ and curvature $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$,

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \ , \tag{19a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} \ . \tag{19b}$$

Equation (19b) is Einstein's equation (with torsion). More explicitly, Hamilton's equations (19) are

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{e}] = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \ , \tag{20a}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\pi}] + \tfrac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{e}\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}] = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} \ . \tag{20b}$$

C. Expansion

The contraction of the momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ defines the expansion $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$, a pseudoscalar 3-form with 4 components,

$$\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \equiv \boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi} \ . \tag{21}$$

The transpose of the double dual of the expansion is

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}{}^{\top} = \Gamma^p_{kp}\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \ , \tag{22}$$

whose tetrad time component Γ^p_{0p} is what is commonly called the expansion, justifying the nomenclature.

The exterior derivative of the expansion $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ is the pseudoscalar 4-form $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ satisfying, on substitution of Hamilton's equations (20),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\vartheta} - \tfrac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Gamma}] &= \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) \\ &= \kappa\left(\tfrac{1}{2}IT - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where T is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor (which by Einstein's equations satisfies $R = -\kappa T$).

D. 3+1 split

The torsion equation (20a) comprises, apparently, 24 equations for the 16 components of the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$, while the Einstein equation (20b) comprises 16 equations for the 24 components of the conjugate momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. The apparent mismatch between the number of equations and degrees of freedom is not a practical barrier to solving Hamilton's equations (20). The 24 torsion equations can be reinterpreted as defining the 24 components of the connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ (and hence $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, equation (10)) in terms of the spin angular-momentum $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and the exterior derivative of the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$; and then the 16 Einstein equations are second order differential equations for the line interval. This is the Lagrangian (second-order) approach.

However, it is advantageous to pursue the Hamiltonian (first-order) approach, since it opens the door to the powerful apparatus of canonical transformations, sheds light on the fundamental structure of the gravitational equations, and offers a possible pathway to quantization.

The apparent mismatch between equations and degrees of freedom stems from two reasons. First, the 16-component line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and 24-component momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ have excess degrees of freedom arising from the 4 coordinate and 6 Lorentz gauge degrees of freedom. Second, a covariant description requires redundant fields that can be expressed entirely in terms of other fields. The simplest example of a redundant field is the magnetic field of electromagnetism. In electromagnetism, after a 3+1 split (see §C 3), the coordinates are the 3 spatial components $\boldsymbol{A}$ of the electromagnetic potential 1-form, and their conjugate momenta are the electric field, the 3 spatial components ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ of the dual electromagnetic field. The remaining 3 components of the electromagnetic field, comprising the magnetic field, the 3 spatial components $\boldsymbol{F}$, are redundant because they equal the spatial exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A}$ of the spatial components of the potential; but the magnetic field nevertheless constitutes part of the 6-component 4-dimensional covariant electromagnetic field.

The standard approach to isolating excess degrees of freedom is, following Dirac [8], to perform a 3+1 space+time split of the equations, and to interpret only those equations involving time derivatives as genuine equations of motion. The remaining equations are either constraint equations (which Dirac calls first class constraints), which are equations that must be arranged to be satisfied in the initial conditions but which are thereafter guaranteed by conservation laws, or else identities (which Dirac calls second class constraints), which define redundant fields in terms of others.

In splitting a multivector form $\boldsymbol{a}$ into time and space components, it is convenient to adopt a notation in which $\boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{t}}$ (subscripted $\bar{t}$) represents all the coordinate time t parts of the form, while $\boldsymbol{a}$ represents the remaining spatial coordinate components (the spatial indices being suppressed for brevity). Thus a multivector p-form $\boldsymbol{a}$ splits as

$$\boldsymbol{a} \to \boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{t}} + \boldsymbol{a} \equiv a_{At\Lambda}\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^A\,d^p x^{t\Lambda} + a_{A\Pi}\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^A\,d^p x^{\Pi} \ , \tag{24}$$

implicitly summed over distinct antisymmetric sequences A of Lorentz indices and Λ and Π of spatial coordinate indices. Note that only the coordinate components are split: the Lorentz components are *not* split into time and space parts. The time component of a product (geometric product of multivectors, exterior product of forms) of any two multivector forms $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ satisfies

$$(\boldsymbol{ab})_{\bar{t}} = \boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{b}_{\bar{t}} \tag{25}$$

with no minus signs (minus signs from the antisymmetry of form indices cancel minus signs from commuting dt through a spatial form).

Splitting the variation (13) of the gravitational action into time and space parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S'_{\mathrm{g}} = \frac{I}{\kappa}\oint_{t_{\mathrm{i}}}^{t_{\mathrm{f}}} (\delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi})_{\bar{t}} + \frac{I}{\kappa}\left[\oint \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi}\right]_{t_{\mathrm{i}}}^{t_{\mathrm{f}}} \\ + \frac{I}{\kappa}\int \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{t}}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{S}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}} + \delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Pi} + \delta\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\bar{t}} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

After the 3+1 split, the gravitational coordinates are the 12 spatial components $\boldsymbol{e}$ of the line interval, and their conjugate momenta are the 12 spatial components $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

Variation of the combined gravitational and matter actions with respect to the variations $\delta\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of the spatial coordinates and momenta yields $12 + 12 = 24$ equations of motion involving time derivatives,

$$\text{12 eqs of mot:} \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{t}} \ , \tag{27a}$$

$$\text{12 eqs of mot:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{\bar{t}} \ . \tag{27b}$$

Equations (27) are equations of motion in the sense that they determine the time derivatives $\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of the gravitational coordinates and momenta. The time derivative here is the 1-form $\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}} \equiv (\partial/\partial t)dt$. More

explicitly, the equations of motion (27) are

$$\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{e} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{t}}, \boldsymbol{e}] + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}] = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{t}} \ , \tag{28a}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{\pi} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{t}}, \boldsymbol{\pi}] + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}] + \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{e}\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}]\right)_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{\bar{t}} \ . \tag{28b}$$

Variation of the action with respect to the variations $\delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$ of the time components of the coordinates and momenta yields equations involving only spatial derivatives, no time derivatives. These purely spatial equations are not equations of motion; rather they are either constraints, if their continued satisfaction is guaranteed by a conservation law, or else identities.

Variation of the action with respect to the 4-component time component $\delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ of the line interval yields the 4 Hamiltonian constraints,

$$\text{4 Ham:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} \ . \tag{29}$$

The 4 components of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$, commonly called the lapse and shift, are arbitrarily adjustable by a coordinate transformation. Associated with this symmetry is a conservation law of energy-momentum (Appendix B 3). The conservation law guarantees that if the Hamiltonian constraints (29) are arranged to be satisfied on the initial hypersurface of constant time t, then they will satisfied thereafter. It should be noted that the mere fact that $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ *can* be treated as a gauge variable arbitrarily adjustable by a coordinate transformation does not mean that $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ *must* be treated as a gauge variable. But regardless of the choice of gauge, there are always 4 constraints whose ongoing validity is guaranteed by conservation of energy-momentum.

Variation of the action with respect to the 12-component time component $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$ of the conjugate momentum yields 6 Gaussian constraints and 6 identities,

$$\text{6 Gauss + 6 ids:} \quad \boldsymbol{S} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \ . \tag{30}$$

The 6 Gaussian constraints are associated with symmetry under the 6 degrees of freedom of Lorentz transformations. There is some freedom in choosing gauge variables arbitrarily adjustable under a Lorentz transformation. A standard choice is to treat the 6-component time component $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{t}}$ of the Lorentz connection bivector 1-form as an arbitrarily adjustable gauge variable. The Gaussian constraints then follow from varying the action with respect to $\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{t}}$, and comprise the subset of equations (30) obtained by wedging with the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$,

$$\text{6 Gauss:} \quad \boldsymbol{e}\wedge\boldsymbol{S} = \kappa\,\boldsymbol{e}\wedge\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \ . \tag{31}$$

Associated with the Lorentz symmetry is a law of conservation of spin angular-momentum (Appendix B 2). This conservation law guarantees that if the Gaussian constraints (31) are arranged to be satisfied on the initial hypersurface of constant time, then the constraints will continue to be satisfied thereafter.

The remaining 6 degrees of freedom in the 12 components of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$ are not freely adjustable by a gauge transformation, so the remaining 6 of the 12 conditions (30) are not guaranteed by any conservation law. Rather, the extra 6 degrees of freedom in $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$ represent redundant degrees of freedom required for a covariant 4-dimensional description of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, analogous to the magnetic field in electromagnetism. Variation of the action with respect to these 6 redundant degrees of freedom of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$ yields 6 identities (second class constraints, in Dirac's terminology) that determine the redundant components in terms of other fields, in the present case in terms of the spatial spin angular-momentum $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and spatial exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e}$ of the spatial line interval.

In view of the definition (4a) of torsion $\boldsymbol{S}$, the 12 equations (30) can be regarded as conditions on the 12 components of $[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}]$. The 6 constraints (31) then constitute a set of 6 linear conditions on $[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}]$ (a 6×12 matrix acting on the 12 components of $[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}]$).

The 6 identities are conditions on the null space of $[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}]$ orthogonal to the 6 constraints (31). There is considerable freedom in choosing the identities: any linear combination of identities and constraints that spans the null space orthogonal to the constraint surface will do. A straightforward numerical solution to the problem of imposing identities is to use singular value decomposition (SVD) applied to all 12 equations (30). SVD finds a solution to an overcomplete set of linear equations essentially by discarding redundant linear combinations of the equations. If the constraints are satisfied, as they should be, then SVD will yield the exact solution for the 6 identities. If the constraints are not satisfied (because of numerical or other error), then SVD will find an approximate solution. The accuracy of the approximate solution can be tested by checking the degree to which all 12 equations are satisfied.

E. Raychaudhuri equation

After the 3+1 split, the time component of equation (23) is an equation of motion for the spatial expansion $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$,

$$\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{\vartheta} + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{\bar{t}} - \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}]\right)_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\left(\tfrac{1}{2}IT - (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi})_{\bar{t}}\right) \ . \tag{32}$$

A modified version of this equation is obtained by adding to the right hand side an arbitrary factor times the wedge product $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}\wedge(\boldsymbol{\Pi} - \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}})$ of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ with the Hamiltonian constraints. If the arbitrary factor is 1, the result is the Raychaudhuri equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{\vartheta} + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{\bar{t}} - \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{e}^2\wedge[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}]\right)_{\bar{t}} - \boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\Pi} \\ = -\kappa\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}\wedge\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} - \tfrac{1}{2}IT + (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\pi})_{\bar{t}}\right) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

which is a key ingredient of singularity theorems.

F. The 12 coordinates and 12 momenta

A perhaps surprising aspect of the equations of motion (27) is that there are 12 + 12 of them for the 12 gravitational coordinates $\boldsymbol{e}$ and their 12 conjugate momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. This is surprising because one is familiar with the notion that the gravitational field has 6 degrees of freedom (for example, the 2 scalar, 2 vector, and 2 tensor modes of cosmology; although only the 2 tensor degrees of freedom are propagating). In the ADM formalism, the gravitational coordinates are the 6 components of the spatial metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$, and their 6 conjugate momenta define the 6 components of the extrinisc curvature. Where then do the extra 6 degrees of freedom in each of $\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ come from? The answer is that the extra degrees of freedom are gauge degrees of freedom associated with Lorentz transformations.

Recall that a motivating idea of this paper is that the environment defines preferred Lorentz frames (the most familiar example being the Cosmic Microwave Background). The 12 components of the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e} \equiv e_{k\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k\,dx^\alpha$ encode not only the spatial coordinate metric $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^k_\alpha e_{k\beta}$, but also the boost and rotation of the vierbein with respect to the preferred Lorentz frame.

G. Generalization to other dimensions

The treatment in this section generalizes without obstacle to any number $N \geq 3$ of spacetime dimensions. The momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ conjugate to the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ in N spacetime dimensions is, generalizing equation (10), the pseudovector $(N-2)$-form

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} \equiv \boldsymbol{e}^{N-3} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \ . \tag{34}$$

There are $\frac{1}{2}N^2(N+1)$ Hamilton's equations, comprising $2N(N-1)$ equations of motion, $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ Gaussian constraints, N Hamiltonian constraints, and $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)(N-3)$ identities.

III. COMPARISON TO ADM AND BSSN

The ADM formalism, introduced by Arnowitt, Deser, & Misner [12, 13], provides the backbone for most modern implementations of numerical relativity. The BSSN formalism, founded on the work of Baumgarte & Shapiro and Shibata & Nakamura [14, 15], has gained popularity because it proves to have better numerical stability than ADM when applied to problems such as the merger of two black holes [5].

A. ADM gauge condition

The most fundamental difference between the approach being proposed here and ADM or BSSN is that ADM and BSSN start by imposing the 3 gauge condition

$$e_{0\alpha} = 0 \ . \tag{35}$$

The ADM gauge condition (35) uses up the 3 degrees of freedom of Lorentz boosts. The ADM gauge choice (35) is also a basic ingredient of Loop Quantum Gravity.

B. Double 3+1 split

To elucidate the structure of the ADM formalism, it is convenient to extend the coordinate 3+1 split (24) to a double 3+1 split of Lorentz as well as coordinate indices. Thus a multivector form $\boldsymbol{a}$ splits into 4 components $\boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}$, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{0}}$, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{t}}$, and $\boldsymbol{a}$ that represent respectively the time-time, time-space, space-time, and space-space components of the multivector form,

$$\boldsymbol{a} \to \boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}} + \boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{0}} + \boldsymbol{a}_{\bar{t}} + \boldsymbol{a} \ . \tag{36}$$

In this notation, the ADM gauge condition (35) is

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}} = 0 \ . \tag{37}$$

The ADM gauge condition (37) reduces the number of degrees of freedom of the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ from 12 to $3 \times 3 = 9$, and of the spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ from 18 to the same number, $3 \times 3 = 9$. The 9 components of the spatial line interval and spatial area element subject to the ADM gauge condition (37) are invertibly related to each other.

Since the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ subject to the ADM gauge condition (37) are invertibly related, either $\boldsymbol{e}$ or $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ may be used as gravitational coordinates. The momenta conjugate to the spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ are the $3 \times 3 = 9$ components of the spatial Lorentz connections $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}} \equiv \Gamma_{0a\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^a\,dx^\alpha$ with one Lorentz index the tetrad time index 0, also called (minus) the extrinsic curvature. The momenta conjugate to the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ are the 9 components of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}} \equiv \boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}}$.

To facilitate comparison to the approach proposed in the present paper, choose the 9 coordinates and 9 momenta in the ADM or BSSN formalisms to be $\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}}$ (one could equally well choose $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}}$). Variation of the combined gravitational and matter actions with respect to variations $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{e}$ yields $9 + 9 = 18$ equations of motion for the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and their conjugate momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}}$,

$$9 \text{ eqs of mot:} \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{t}} \ , \tag{38a}$$

$$9 \text{ eqs of mot:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\bar{t}\bar{0}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{\bar{t}\bar{0}} \ . \tag{38b}$$

Variation of the action with respect to $\delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}}$ yields 3 equations of motion,

$$3 \text{ eqs of mot:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{\bar{t}} \ . \tag{39}$$

Note that the ADM gauge condition $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}} = 0$ is a gauge condition, fixed after equations of motion are derived,

so it is correct to vary $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}}$ in the action, leading to equation (39). Equation (39) is an equation of motion in the sense that it involves a time derivative $\mathrm{d}_{\bar{t}}\boldsymbol{\pi}$; but $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is not one of the momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}}$ conjugate to the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$, so equation (39) has a different status from the 9+9 equations of motion (38). As described in §III C and §III D below, the treatment of equation (39) is what distinguishes the ADM and BSSN formalisms: ADM treats it as a constraint equation, while BSSN retains it as an equation of motion.

Variation of the combined gravitational and matter action with respect to $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}$, $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{t}}$, $\delta\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}$, $\delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}$ yields 19 equations involving only spatial derivatives, namely 9 identities, 6 Gaussian constraints, and 4 Hamiltonian constraints,

$$\text{3 ids:} \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}} \;, \tag{40a}$$

$$\text{3 Gauss:} \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{0}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{0}} \;, \tag{40b}$$

$$\text{3 Gauss + 6 ids:} \quad \boldsymbol{S} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \;, \tag{40c}$$

$$\text{3 Ham:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\bar{0}} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{\bar{0}} \;, \tag{40d}$$

$$\text{1 Ham:} \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi} = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} \;. \tag{40e}$$

The 3 identities (40a) are not equations of motion (they involve no time derivatives), despite having a form index $\bar{t}$. Explicitly,

$$\text{3 ids:} \quad \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}, \boldsymbol{e}] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{t}}] = \kappa\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}} \;. \tag{41}$$

As earlier, equation (31), the 3 Gaussian constraints contained in equations (40c) comprise the subset obtained by wedging with the spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$,

$$\text{3 Gauss:} \quad \boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{S} = \kappa\, \boldsymbol{e} \wedge \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \;. \tag{42}$$

The combined set of 40 Hamilton's equations (38)–(40) are identical to the earlier equations (27), (29), and (30), but grouped differently. The different grouping results because ADM and BSSN treat $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}}$ not as a dynamical variable, but rather as a gauge variable set to zero.

C. ADM formalism

The ADM formalism treats the 3 equations (39) as constraint equations, which is justified because they arise from variation of the action with respect to the 3 components of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\bar{0}}$, which ADM treats as gauge variables. Actually, ADM simply discards equations (39) unceremoniously. If torsion vanishes, then Lorentz gauge symmetry enforces that the Einstein tensor is symmetric, equation (B11), in which case the 3 equations (39) should equal the transpose of the 3 momentum constraints (40d), and can be dropped.

Because ADM uses up the gauge freedom under Lorentz boosts on the vierbein, the gauge freedom can no longer be used on the connections (the 3 components of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}\bar{t}}$ can no longer be treated as a gauge variable). Thus in ADM the 3 Gaussian constraints (31) cease to be constraints; rather, they become identities.

Commonly, after the 3 gauge freedoms under Lorentz boosts have been fixed by the ADM gauge choice (37), the ADM equations are cast entirely in the coordinate frame. This has the advantage that the remaining variables are gauge-invariant under the remaining Lorentz symmetries, namely spatial rotations. After a 3+1 split, the gravitational coordinates are the 6 spatial components $g_{\alpha\beta}$ of the metric, and their conjugate momenta are, modulo a trace term, the components $\Gamma_{\alpha 0\beta}$ of the extrinsic curvature $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}}$ projected into the coordinate frame (specifically, the conjugate momenta are $\overset{**}{\pi}{}_{\alpha 0\beta} = \Gamma_{\alpha 0\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{a}_{0a}$; compare equation (12)). For vanishing torsion, as ADM assumes, the extrinsic curvature $\Gamma_{\alpha 0\beta}$ is symmetric and therefore also has 6 components. Thus in ADM there are $6 + 6 = 12$ equations of motion. The remaining gravitational degrees of freedom are embodied in the 18 spatial components of the coordinate-frame connections (the Christoffel symbols, not Lorentz connections). The 18 spatial coordinate connections are determined by 18 identities relating them to spatial derivatives of the spatial metric.

In ADM, the 6 Gaussian constraints cease to appear explicitly. Where have they gone? The answer is that they have disappeared into the 6-component antisymmetric part of the Einstein equations, which vanishes identically if torsion vanishes, as ADM assumes.

Altogether, the ADM equations comprise $6 + 6 = 12$ equations of motion, 18 identities, 4 Hamiltonian constraints, and 6 Gaussian constraints absorbed into the antisymmetric part of the Einstein equations, a total of 40 Hamilton's equations.

D. BSSN formalism

The BSSN formalism follows ADM for the most part, except that it retains the 3 equations (39) as equations of motion. As a result, BSSN retains the 3 Gaussian constraints (42) as constraints.

In all, the BSSN equations constitute $6 + 6 + 3 = 15$ equations of motion, 15 identities, 4 Hamiltonian constraints, 3 Gaussian constraints, and 3 Gaussian constraints absorbed into the antisymmetric spatial part of the Einstein equations, a total of 40 Hamilton's equations.

E. Loop Quantum Gravity

Having come this far, it is useful to comment briefly on Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG; e.g. [16]). The language of multivector-valued forms is perfectly suited to LQG.

Like ADM and BSSN, LQG starts by making the ADM gauge choice (37). Unlike ADM and BSSN, LQG treats the remaining 3 Lorentz degrees of freedom under spatial rotations as having central importance. As remarked in

the third paragraph of §III B, the 9-component spatial line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and 9-component spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ subject to the ADM gauge condition (37) are invertibly related, so either $\boldsymbol{e}$ or $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ may be used as gravitational coordinates. LQG adopts the spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ as the gravitational coordinates. The momentum conjugate to the spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$ is the 9-component extrinsic curvature $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}}$. LQG keeps all of the 9 + 9 equations of motion (38), including their antisymmetric parts.

Like ADM, LQG discards the 3 BSSN equations (39) as constraint equations enforced by the symmetry of the time-space components of the Einstein tensor, for vanishing torsion. LQG retains the 4 Hamiltonian constraints (40d) and (40e), and the 3 Gaussian constraints (42).

In the seminal paper that subsequently led to LQG, Ashtekar [17] noticed that bivectors in 4 spacetime dimensions (and only in 4 dimensions) have a natural complex structure. The complex structure allows the 24-component bivector 1-form Lorentz connections $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ to be split into distinct 12-component complex right- and left-handed chiral parts

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\pm \equiv (1 \pm \gamma_5)\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \ , \tag{43}$$

where $\gamma_5 \equiv -iI$ is the chiral operator, which is minus the product of the quantum-mechanical imaginary i and the pseudoscalar I of the geometric algebra, equation (A2). The chiral Cartan's equations (4b) are

$$\boldsymbol{R}_\pm \equiv (1 \pm \gamma_5)\boldsymbol{R} = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\pm + \tfrac{1}{4}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\pm, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\pm] \ . \tag{44}$$

That is, the right(left)-handed Riemann curvature depends only on the right(left)-handed Lorentz connection. Ashtekar pointed out that the imaginary part of the (right-handed, without loss of generality) chiral Hilbert Lagrangian,

$$L_+ \equiv \frac{I}{\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{e}^2 \wedge \boldsymbol{R}_+ \ , \tag{45}$$

vanishes if torsion vanishes, and that the resulting Hamilton's equations of motion are unchanged from those of general relativity, if torsion vanishes.

Ashtekar proposed as fundamental gravitational variables the 9 components of the complex chiral spatial Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_+$, and the 9 components of the spatial area element $\boldsymbol{e}^2$. These 9 + 9 variables are called Ashtekar variables,

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_+ \ , \quad \boldsymbol{e}^2 \quad \text{Ashtekar variables} \ . \tag{46}$$

A key point is that keeping only 9 of the 18 spatial components $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of the Lorentz connection means that 9 components are discarded, which allows the 9 identities contained in equations (40a)–(40c) to be discarded. Likewise 3 of the 6 time components $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\bar{t}}$ of the Lorentz connection are kept, and 3 are discarded, allowing the 3 Gaussian constraints (42) to be discarded. In all, the Ashtekar equations comprise 9 + 9 equations of motion, zero identities, 4 Hamiltonian constraints, and 3 Gaussian constraints, a total of 25 equations. The missing 15 equations, compared to the original set of 40 Hamilton's equations, are the 3 BSSN equations (39), which for vanishing torsion are enforced by the antisymmetry of the momentum components of the Einstein equation, and the 9 identities and 3 Gaussian constraints determining the 12 discarded components of the Lorentz connection of opposite chirality.

It was hoped that the resulting simplification of the gravitational equations of motion (there being zero identities) would allow canonical quantization to proceed. Unfortunately the device of complexifying the Lorentz connection proved too high a price, and that hope was not realized.

Subsequently it began to be realized, starting with [18], that if gravity is treated as a gauge theory of spatial Lorentz transformations, then a gauge rotation (a spatial rotation) by 2π around a loop will lead to non-trivial quantum mechanical consequences. Thus Loop Quantum Gravity was born.

Later versions of LQG are based on a real Lagrangian

$$L_{\mathrm{LQG}} \equiv \frac{I}{\kappa}\, \boldsymbol{e}^2 \wedge \boldsymbol{R}_\beta \ , \tag{47}$$

in which the imaginary i in equation (44) is replaced by a (usually) real parameter β called the Barbero-Immirzi parameter [19, 20],

$$\boldsymbol{R}_\beta \equiv \left(1 + \frac{I}{\beta}\right) \boldsymbol{R} \ . \tag{48}$$

IV. SUMMARY

This paper has presented a Hamiltonian approach to numerical relativity in which the full 10-dimensional symmetry group of general relativity is kept manifest. The symmetry group is kept manifest by using the powerful mathematical language of multivector-valued differential forms.

The most immediate practical advantage of the approach is that it allows a wider range of gauge choices than the traditional ADM [4] or BSSN [5] approaches. Specifically, the proposed approach allows gauge choices to be imposed on the Lorentz connections rather than on the line interval. The broader range of gauge options could be advantageous in some challenging problems such as the interior structure of accreting, rotating black holes, addressed in a subsequent paper.

In the proposed approach, the 40 Hamilton's equations divide into 24 equations of motion and 6 identities, along with 10 constraint equations. By comparison, ADM has 12 equations of motion and 18 identities, while BSSN has 15 equations of motion and 15 identities. To the extent that equations of motion are causal and therefore "good," while identities are acausal and therefore "bad," it is not

unreasonable to conjecture that the proposed approach may have better properties of numerical stability than either ADM or BSSN. It remains to be seen whether this conjecture is borne out by numerical experiment.

It has been remarked that Loop Quantum Gravity can be cast elegantly in the language of multivector-valued forms.

Acknowledgments

I thank Gavin Polhemus for the suggestion to use multivector-valued forms.

References

[1] E. Poisson and W. Israel. Inner-horizon instability and mass inflation in black holes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 63:1663–1666, 1989.

[2] Roger Penrose. Structure of space-time. In Cécile de Witt-Morette and John A. Wheeler, editors, *Battelle Rencontres: 1967 lectures in mathematics and physics*, pages 121–235. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1968.

[3] Vladimir A. Belinskii, Isaak M. Khalatnikov, and Evgeny M. Lifshitz. A general solution of the Einstein equations with a time singularity. *Advances in Physics*, 31:639–667, 1982.

[4] Luis Lehner. Numerical relativity: a review. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 18:R25–86, 2001.

[5] Thomas W. Baumgarte and Stuart L. Shapiro. *Numerical Relativity: Solving Einstein's Equations on the Computer*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[6] James M. Bardeen, Olivier Sarbach, and Luisa T. Buchman. Tetrad formalism for numerical relativity on conformally compactified constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. *Phys. Rev.*, D83:104045, 2011.

[7] Chris Doran and Anthony Lasenby. *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2003.

[8] Paul A. M. Dirac. *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*. Belfer Graduate School of Science, New York, 1964.

[9] Friedrich W. Hehl. Gauge theory of gravity and spacetime. 2012.

[10] Milutin Blagojević and Friedrich W. Hehl. *Gauge Theories of Gravitation*. Imperial College Press, 2013.

[11] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John A. Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman and Co., 1973.

[12] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner. Dynamical structure and definition of energy in general relativity. *Phys. Rev.*, 116:1322–1330, 1959.

[13] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner. The dynamics of general relativity. In L. Witten, editor, *Gravitation: an introduction to current research*, pages 227–265. John Wiley & Sons, 1963.

[14] Thomas W. Baumgarte and Stuart L. Shapiro. On the numerical integration of Einstein's field equations. *Phys. Rev.*, D59:024007, 1999.

[15] Masaru Shibata and Takashi Nakamura. Evolution of three-dimensional gravitational waves: Harmonic slicing case. *Phys. Rev.*, D52:5428–5444, 1995.

[16] Carlo Rovelli. *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[17] A. Ashtekar. New Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity. *Phys. Rev.*, D36:1587–1602, 1987.

[18] Ted Jacobson and Lee Smolin. Nonperturbative quantum geometries. *Nucl. Phys.*, B299:295, 1988.

[19] J. Fernando Barbero G. Real Ashtekar variables for lorentzian signature space times. *Phys. Rev.*, D51:5507–5510, 1995.

[20] Giorgio Immirzi. Real and complex connections for canonical gravity. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 14:L177–L181, 1997.

[21] Friedrich W. Hehl, J. Dermott McCrea, Eckehard W. Mielke, and Yuval Ne'eman. Metric affine gauge theory of gravity: Field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance. *Phys. Rept.*, 258:1–171, 1995.

Appendix A: Notation

1. Indices

Latin indices $k, l, ...$ refer to Lorentz frames. Greek indices $\kappa, \lambda, ...$ refer to coordinate frames. Early latin $a, b, ...$ and greek $\alpha, \beta, ...$ indices refer to spatial coordinates only. Capital latin $A, B, ...$ and greek $\Lambda, \Pi, ...$ indices refer to distinct antisymmetric sequences of indices. Implicit summation is over distinct antisymmetric sequences of indices, since this removes ubiquitous factorial factors that would otherwise appear.

2. Multivectors

Multivectors [7] are elements of the Clifford algebra, or geometric algebra, generated by basis elements (axes) $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k$ equipped with an inner product

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_l = \eta_{kl} \ , \tag{A1}$$

and an antisymmetric outer product $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}_l = -\boldsymbol{\gamma}_l \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k$. In this paper there are 4 spacetime dimensions, hence 4 axes $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \equiv \{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_2, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3\}$, and their inner product η_{kl}

is Minkowski. The geometric algebra is identical to the Clifford algebra of Dirac γ-matrices, hence the notation.

The pseudovector I is the highest grade element of the geometric algebra,

$$I \equiv \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}_2 \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3 \ . \tag{A2}$$

The square of the pseudoscalar in 4 spacetime dimensions is -1, and in that sense behaves like an imaginary number,

$$I^2 = -1 \ . \tag{A3}$$

3. Multiplication

In this paper, the product of 2 multivector forms $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the geometric product of the multivectors, and the exterior product of the forms. The wedge symbol is *not* used to denote the exterior product of forms, since that notation would conflict with the use of the same symbol for the outer product of multivectors. Rather, all form products are by default exterior products.

The wedge symbol $\wedge$ denotes the highest grade element of the geometric product of multivector forms. Thus the wedge product $\boldsymbol{a} \wedge \boldsymbol{b}$ of multivector forms $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ of grades m and n is the grade $m+n$ component of their geometric product.

The dot symbol $\cdot$ denotes the lowest grade element of the geometric product of multivector forms (except that the dot product of a scalar, a multivector of grade 0, with a multivector is zero). Thus the dot product $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$ of multivector forms $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ of non-zero grades m and n is the grade $|m-n|$ component of their geometric product. In accordance with the convention of this paper, $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$ is a dot product of multivectors, but an exterior product of forms.

Commutators $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ of multivector forms are defined in the usual way,

$$[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \equiv \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{a} \ . \tag{A4}$$

In accordance with the convention of this paper, the products on the right hand side of equation (A4) are geometric products of multivectors, and exterior products of forms. The commutator of a bivector form with a multivector form of grade n is a multivector form of the same grade n.

4. Hodge duals

Hodge dual operations are defined for both multivectors and forms. The Hodge dual of a multivector $\boldsymbol{a}$ of grade n is $I\boldsymbol{a}$, which is a multivector of grade $N-n$ in N spacetime dimensions. The form dual of a p-form $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a}_\Lambda \, d^p x^\Lambda$ with multivector coefficients $\boldsymbol{a}_\Lambda$ is the multivector q-form ${}^*\boldsymbol{a}$ given by

$${}^*\boldsymbol{a} \equiv \boldsymbol{a}_\Lambda \, {}^* d^q x^\Lambda = (-)^{[p/2]} \, \varepsilon_{\Lambda\Pi} \, \boldsymbol{a}^\Lambda \, d^q x^\Pi \ , \tag{A5}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\Lambda\Pi}$ is the totally antisymmetric pseudoscalar coordinate tensor, and the implicit summation is over distinct antisymmetric sequences Λ and Π of respectively p and $q \equiv N-p$ coordinate indices. The double dual of a multivector form $\boldsymbol{a}$, both a multivector dual and a form dual, is denoted with a double-asterisk overscript **,

$$\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{a}} \equiv I \, {}^*\boldsymbol{a} \ . \tag{A6}$$

5. Transpose of a multivector form

The transpose $\boldsymbol{a}^\top$ of a multivector form $\boldsymbol{a}$ of grade n and form index p is defined to be the multivector form, of grade p and form index n, with multivector and form indices transposed by the vierbein,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}^\top &= \left(a_{kl..\mu\nu..} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l \wedge .. \, d^p x^{\mu\nu..} \right)^\top \\ &= e^k{}_\kappa e^l{}_\lambda \, .. \, e_m{}^\mu e_n{}^\nu \, .. \, a_{kl..\mu\nu..} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^m \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^n \wedge .. \, d^n x^{\kappa\lambda..} \\ &= a_{\kappa\lambda..mn..} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^m \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^n \wedge .. \, d^n x^{\kappa\lambda..} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

implicitly summed over distinct antisymmetric sequences $kl..$, $mn..$, $\kappa\lambda..$, $\mu\nu..$ of indices. The transpose of a symmetric tensor $\boldsymbol{a}$, one satisfying, $a_{k\lambda} \equiv a_{kl} e^l{}_\lambda = a_{lk} e^l{}_\lambda \equiv a_{\lambda k}$, is itself,

$$\boldsymbol{a}^\top = (a_{k\lambda} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \, dx^\lambda)^\top = a_{\lambda k} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \, dx^\lambda = a_{k\lambda} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \, dx^\lambda = \boldsymbol{a} \ . \tag{A8}$$

As a particular example, the line interval is symmetric, $\boldsymbol{e}^\top = \boldsymbol{e}$, because the tetrad metric is symmetric.

Appendix B: Bianchi identities and conservation laws

1. Bianchi identities

The Jacobi identity applied to the triple product of the (coordinate and Lorentz) covariant derivative implies the Bianchi identities

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{S}] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{R}] = 0 \ , \tag{B1a}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{R} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{R}] = 0 \ . \tag{B1b}$$

The $\frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{R}]$ term in the torsion Bianchi identity (B1a) is a vector 3-form whose 16 components comprise the antisymmetric part of the 36-component Riemann tensor,

$$\tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{R}] = \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{R} = R_{[\kappa\lambda\mu]n} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^n \, d^3 x^{\kappa\lambda\mu} \ . \tag{B2}$$

Thus the antisymmetric part of the Riemann tensor is determined completely by the torsion $\boldsymbol{S}$, which through its equation of motion (19a) is in turn determined completely by the spin angular-momentum $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of matter.

The contracted Bianchi identities, obtained by wedging the Bianchi identities (B1) with the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$, are

$$\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{S}) + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{S}] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}^2, \boldsymbol{R}] = 0 \ , \tag{B3a}$$

$$\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{R}) + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{R}] - \boldsymbol{S} \wedge \boldsymbol{R} = 0 \ . \tag{B3b}$$

The term $\frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}^2, \boldsymbol{R}]$ in the contracted torsion Bianchi identity (B3a) is a bivector 4-form whose 6 components comprise the antisymmetric part of the Ricci tensor,

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{e}^2, \boldsymbol{R}] &= \boldsymbol{e} \wedge (\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) = \boldsymbol{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{e} \wedge \boldsymbol{R}) \\ &= -e_{k\kappa} e_{l\lambda} R_{[\mu\nu]}\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l\, d^4x^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

The contracted Bianchi identites (B3a) and (B3b) enforce conservation respectively of total spin angular-momentum and of total energy-momentum.

2. Conservation of spin angular-momentum

Invariance of the action of a matter species under Lorentz transformations implies the law (B10) of conservation of the spin angular-momentum of that matter species. If the spin angular-momentum of a matter species vanishes, then the conservation law implies that the energy-momentum tensor of that matter species is symmetric, equation (B11). The energy-momentum tensor is generically not symmetric for a matter species with non-vanishing spin angular-momentum. The actions of gauge fields such as electromagnetism are independent of the Lorentz connection, so gauge fields carry no spin angular-momentum. Fermionic fields on the other hand do depend on the Lorentz connection, and carry spin angular-momentum.

Under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation generated by the infinitesimal bivector $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \epsilon_{kl}\, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k \wedge \boldsymbol{\gamma}^l$, any multivector form $\boldsymbol{a}$ whose multivector components transform like a tensor varies as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{a} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{a}] \ . \tag{B5}$$

In particular, since the vierbein $e_{k\kappa}$ is a tetrad vector, the variation of the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is

$$\delta \boldsymbol{e} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{e}] \ . \tag{B6}$$

The components of the Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ do not constitute a tetrad tensor, so its variation under a Lorentz transformation is not given by equation (B5). Rather, the variation of the Lorentz connection follows from a difference of tetrad-frame tensors,

$$\delta \mathrm{D}_\Gamma \boldsymbol{a} - \mathrm{D}_\Gamma \delta \boldsymbol{a} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{a}] \ , \tag{B7}$$

where D_Γ denotes the Lorentz-covariant exterior derivative

$$\mathrm{D}_\Gamma \boldsymbol{a} \equiv \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{a} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{a}] \ . \tag{B8}$$

The variation $\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of the Lorentz connection under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation generated by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is then

$$\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = -\left(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}]\right) \ . \tag{B9}$$

Inserting the variations (B6) and (B9) of the line interval and Lorentz connection into the variation (16) of the matter action, integrating by parts, and dropping the surface term, yields the law of conservation of spin angular-momentum,

$$\mathrm{d}\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}] - \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} = 0 \ . \tag{B10}$$

If the conservation law (B10) is summed over all matter species, and $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is eliminated using the relation (18a) and the equation of motion (19a), then the contracted torsion Bianchi identity (B3a) is recovered.

If the spin angular-momentum of a matter species vanishes, then the conservation law reduces to

$$\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} = 0 \ , \tag{B11}$$

which translates into the statement that the energy-momentum tensor of the matter species is symmetric.

3. Conservation of energy-momentum

Invariance of the action of a matter species under coordinate transformations implies the law (B18) of conservation of energy-momentum of that matter species.

Any infinitesimal 1-form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \equiv \epsilon_\kappa\, dx^\kappa$ generates an infinitesimal coordinate transformation

$$x^\kappa \to x^\kappa + \epsilon^\kappa \ . \tag{B12}$$

The variation of any quantity $\boldsymbol{a}$ with respect to an infinitesimal coordinate transformation $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is, by construction of the Lie derivative, minus its Lie derivative, $\delta\boldsymbol{a} = -\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{a}$, with respect to the vector ϵ^κ. The Lie derivative of a form $\boldsymbol{a}$ with respect to a 1-form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is given by "Cartan's magic formula,"

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \centerdot (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{a}) + \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \centerdot \boldsymbol{a}) \ , \tag{B13}$$

where $\centerdot$ denotes the form inner product (the form dot $\centerdot$ is written slightly larger than the multivector dot $\cdot$ to distinguish the two). Cartan's magic formula (B13) holds also for multivector-valued forms, since multivectors are scalars under coordinate transformations. However, the magic formula encounters the difficulty that the Lie derivative of a multivector-valued form is not a tetrad tensor. Consequently neither $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{e}$ nor $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a tetrad tensor. However, as pointed out at the beginning of §5.2.1 of [21], the Lagrangian is a Lorentz scalar, so in varying the Lagrangian 4-form L, the exterior derivative d can be replaced by the Lorentz-covariant exterior derivative D_Γ defined by equation (B8),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} L = \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} L \equiv \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \centerdot (\mathrm{D}_\Gamma L) + \mathrm{D}_\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \centerdot L) \ . \tag{B14}$$

The advantage of this replacement is that the Lorentz-covariant Lie derivatives of the line interval and Lorentz connection are then (coordinate and tetrad) tensors, and

the resulting law of conservation of energy-momentum is manifestly tensorial, as it should be. The Lorentz-covariant variation $\delta\boldsymbol{e}$ of the line interval under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation (B12) generated by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is

$$\delta\boldsymbol{e} = -\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{e} = -\,\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}) - \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}] - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}\ . \quad \text{(B15)}$$

The Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a coordinate tensor but not a tetrad tensor, so the formula for the Lorentz-covariant Lie derivative does not apply directly to the Lorentz connection. Rather, the Lorentz-covariant variation $\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of the Lorentz connection follows from equation (B7), implying

$$\tfrac{1}{2}[\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{a}] = -\,\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\mathrm{D}_\Gamma\boldsymbol{a} + \mathrm{D}_\Gamma\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{a}\ , \quad \text{(B16)}$$

which leads to

$$\delta\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \equiv -\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}\ . \quad \text{(B17)}$$

Inserting the variations (B15) and (B17) of the line interval $\boldsymbol{e}$ and Lorentz connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ into the variation (16) of the matter action, integrating by parts, and dropping the surface term, yields the law of conservation of energy-momentum,

$$(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{e})\wedge\big(\mathrm{d}\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}}]\big) - (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{S})\wedge\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} - \overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\wedge(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}) = 0\ . \quad \text{(B18)}$$

I don't know a way to recast equation (B18) in multivector-forms notation with the arbitrary 1-form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ factored out, but in components equation (B18) reduces to

$$\mathring{D}^m T_{\kappa m} + T^{mn}K_{mn\kappa} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\lambda mn}\,R_{\lambda\kappa mn} = 0\ . \quad \text{(B19)}$$

Here $K_{mn\kappa}$ are the components of the contortion bivector 1-form $\boldsymbol{K}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \equiv \mathring{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} + \boldsymbol{K}$, where $\mathring{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}$ (with a $\mathring{}$ overscript) is the torsion-free (Levi-Civita) Lorentz connection. If the energy-momentum conservation law (B18) is summed over all matter components, and the total spin angular-momentum $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and energy-momentum $\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}}$ eliminated in favour of torsion $\boldsymbol{S}$ and curvature $\boldsymbol{R}$ using Hamilton's equations (19), then the law of conservation of total energy-momentum recovers the contracted Bianchi identity (B3b).

If the spin angular-momentum of a matter species vanishes, then the energy-momentum conservation law (B18) for that species reduces to

$$\mathrm{d}\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\mathring{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}},\overset{**}{\boldsymbol{T}}] = 0\ , \quad \text{(B20)}$$

with $\mathring{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}$ the torsion-free Lorentz connection.

Appendix C: Electromagnetism

The purpose of this Appendix is to show that the structure of the equations of electromagnetism is quite similar to the structure of the gravitational equations derived in the text.

1. Electromagnetic potential and field

Electromagnetism is a $U(1)$ gauge theory. The coordinates of electromagnetism are a 1-form $\boldsymbol{A} \equiv A_\nu\,dx^\nu$ which arises as the connection of an electromagnetic gauge-covariant exterior derivative,

$$\mathrm{D}_A \equiv \mathrm{d} + ie\boldsymbol{A}\ , \quad \text{(C1)}$$

which acts on fields φ of dimensionless charge e. Under an electromagnetic $U(1)$ gauge transformation of the field φ by some scalar θ,

$$\varphi \to e^{-ie\theta}\varphi\ , \quad \text{(C2)}$$

the electromagnetic gauge-covariant derivative of φ is required to transform as

$$\mathrm{D}_A\varphi \to e^{-ie\theta}\mathrm{D}_A\varphi\ . \quad \text{(C3)}$$

This requires that the electromagnetic potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ vary under a gauge transformation as

$$\boldsymbol{A} \to \boldsymbol{A} + \mathrm{d}\theta\ . \quad \text{(C4)}$$

The commutator of the electromagnetic gauge-covariant derivative defines the electromagnetic field 2-form $\boldsymbol{F} \equiv F_{\mu\nu}\,d^2x^{\mu\nu}$ (implicit sum over distinct antisymmetric pairs $\mu\nu$),

$$\boldsymbol{F} \equiv \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A}\ , \quad \text{(C5)}$$

which is invariant under electromagnetic gauge transformations. In components, equation (C5) is

$$F_{\mu\nu}\,d^2x^{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{\partial A_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\right)d^2x^{\mu\nu}\ . \quad \text{(C6)}$$

2. Electromagnetic action

The electromagnetic Lagrangian 4-form, expressed in terms of the electromagnetic coordinates $\boldsymbol{A}$ and their derivatives, is

$$L_\mathrm{e} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^*(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A})\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A}\ . \quad \text{(C7)}$$

The electromagnetic Lagrangian (C7) is invariant under electromagnetic gauge transformations, as it must be. The units here are Heaviside (SI units with $\varepsilon_0 = \mu_0 = 1$); in Gaussian units the right hand side of equation (C7) would have an extra factor of $1/(4\pi)$. The momenta conjugate to the coordinates $\boldsymbol{A}$ are

$$\frac{\delta L_\mathrm{e}}{\delta\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A}} = {}^*\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A} = {}^*\boldsymbol{F}\ , \quad \text{(C8)}$$

which is the 2-form dual ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ of the electromagnetic field $\boldsymbol{F}$. Recast in super-Hamiltonian form, the electromagnetic Lagrangian (C7) is

$$L_\mathrm{e} = {}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A} - H_\mathrm{e}\ , \quad \text{(C9)}$$

with coordinates $\boldsymbol{A}$, momenta ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$, and super-Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm e} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\boldsymbol{F}\ . \tag{C10}$$

The variation of the electromagnetic action with respect to the coordinates $\boldsymbol{A}$ and momenta ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ is

$$\delta S_{\rm e} = \int {}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge{\rm d}\delta\boldsymbol{A} + \delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge{\rm d}\boldsymbol{A} - \delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\boldsymbol{F}\ . \tag{C11}$$

Integrating the ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge{\rm d}\delta\boldsymbol{A}$ term in equation (C11) by parts brings the variation of the electromagnetic action to

$$\delta S_{\rm e} = \oint {}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A} + \int -{\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A} + \delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge({\rm d}\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{F})\ . \tag{C12}$$

The variation of the matter action with respect to the electromagnetic coordinates $\boldsymbol{A}$ defines the 3-form dual ${}^*\boldsymbol{j}$ of the matter electric current 1-form $\boldsymbol{j}$,

$$\delta S_{\rm m} = \int {}^*\boldsymbol{j}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A}\ . \tag{C13}$$

Requiring that the variation $\delta(S_{\rm e}+S_{\rm m})$ of the combined electromagnetic and matter actions vanish with respect to arbitrary variations $\delta\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ of the electromagnetic coordinates and momenta, subject to the condition that $\delta\boldsymbol{A}$ vanishes on the boundary, yields Hamilton's equations,

$${\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} = {}^*\boldsymbol{j}\ , \tag{C14a}$$

$${\rm d}\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{F}\ . \tag{C14b}$$

The first Hamilton equation (C14a) is a 4-component 3-form comprising Maxwell's source-full equations. The second Hamilton equation (C14b) is a 6-component 2-form that enforces the relation (C5) between the electromagnetic field $\boldsymbol{F}$ and the electromagnetic potential $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Taking the exterior derivative of the first Hamilton equation (C14a) yields, since ${\rm d}^2=0$, the electric current conservation law

$${\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{j} = 0\ . \tag{C15}$$

Taking the exterior derivative of the second Hamilton equation (C14b) yields

$${\rm d}\boldsymbol{F} = 0\ , \tag{C16}$$

which comprises Maxwell's source-free equations.

3. 3+1 split

Splitting the variation (C12) of the combined electromagnetic and matter action into time and space parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(S_{\rm e}+S_{\rm m}) = &\left[\oint {}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A}\right]_{t_{\rm i}}^{t_{\rm f}} \\ &+\int_{t_{\rm i}}^{t_{\rm f}} -({\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F}-{}^*\boldsymbol{j})_{\bar t}\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A} - ({\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F}-{}^*\boldsymbol{j})\wedge\delta\boldsymbol{A}_{\bar t} \\ &\qquad + \delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}\wedge({\rm d}\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{F})_{\bar t} + \delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}\wedge({\rm d}\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{F})\ .\end{aligned} \tag{C17}$$

After the 3+1 split, the electromagnetic coordinates are the 3 spatial components $\boldsymbol{A}$ of the electromagnetic potential 1-form, and their conjugate momenta are the 3 spatial components ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ of the dual electromagnetic field, which 3 components comprise the electric field. Variation of the action with respect to the variations $\delta\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ of the 3 coordinates and their 3 conjugate momenta yields $3+3$ equations of motion that give time derivatives of the coordinates and momenta,

$$\text{3 eqs of mot:}\quad ({\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F})_{\bar t} \equiv {\rm d}_{\bar t}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} + {\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t} = {}^*\boldsymbol{j}_{\bar t}\ , \tag{C18a}$$

$$\text{3 eqs of mot:}\quad ({\rm d}\boldsymbol{A})_{\bar t} \equiv {\rm d}_{\bar t}\boldsymbol{A} + {\rm d}\boldsymbol{A}_{\bar t} = \boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}\ . \tag{C18b}$$

Note that ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ is dual to $\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}$, and those components comprise the electric field; while $\boldsymbol{F}$ is dual to ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}$, and those components comprise the magnetic field.

The time component $\boldsymbol{A}_{\bar t}$ of the electromagnetic potential can be regarded as a gauge field, arbitrarily adjustable by an electromagnetic gauge transformation (C4). Variation of the action with respect to variations $\delta\boldsymbol{A}_{\bar t}$ of the gauge variable imply the constraint equation

$$\text{1 constraint:}\quad {\rm d}\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} = {}^*\boldsymbol{j}\ . \tag{C19}$$

The constraint equation (C19) has the property that, provided that it is satisfied in the initial conditions, it is guaranteed thereafter by conservation of electric current. Conservation of electric current is a consequence of the $U(1)$ symmetry of electromagnetism.

Variation of the action with respect to the variation $\delta{}^*\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}$ of the 3 components of the magnetic field implies the 3 identities

$$\text{3 ids:}\quad {\rm d}\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{F}\ . \tag{C20}$$

The identities (C20) express the 3 components of the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{F}$ as the spatial exterior derivative of the spatial potential $\boldsymbol{A}$. Equation (C20) is not an equation of motion since it does not involve any time derivatives; nor is it a constraint equation, since its continued satisfaction is not enforced by any conservation law. Rather, the identities (C20) mean that the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{F}$ is a redundant field that can be eliminated by replacing it with ${\rm d}\boldsymbol{A}$. The spatial potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ is itself determined by its equation of motion (C18b). Although the magnetic field is redundant, it is required for a covariant description of the 4-dimensional 2-form electromagnetic field. Moreover, the magnetic field (or rather its dual ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}_{\bar t}$) appears in the equation of motion (C18a) for the electric field.

In all, the 10 Hamilton's equations of electromagnetism comprise $3+3=6$ equations of motion, 1 constraint, and 3 identities.

In electromagnetism, the Hamiltonian variables after a 3+1 split are the 3-component spatial potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ and its conjugate momentum the 3-component spatial electric field ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$. In place of the spatial potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ it is possible to work with the 3-component magnetic field $\boldsymbol{F} \equiv \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{A}$, in which case the variables are the 6 components of the electric and magnetic fields ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{F}$. This is the traditional (centuries old) approach. The electric and magnetic fields are electromagnetic gauge-invariant, but not coordinate gauge-invariant (the electric and magnetic fields form a coordinate tensor, not a set of coordinate scalars). In general relativity, the analogous situation is that the Hamiltonian variables after a 3+1 split are the 12-component spatial vierbein $\boldsymbol{e}$ and its conjugate momentum the 12-component spatial momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. In place of these it is possible to work with Lorentz gauge-invariant variables, the 6-component spatial metric and the 18-component spatial coordinate connections (Christoffel symbols). This is the ADM formalism. Thus the ADM formalism is the general relativistic analog of the traditional electromagnetic formalsm.